Coos-Curry Electric Cooperative, Inc. Responses to Questions and Statements Raised During the 88th Annual Meeting of the Members

On June 25, 2026, Coos-Curry Electric Cooperative welcomed members to the 88th Annual meeting of the membership in Port Orford, Oregon. The Board of Directors extended the option for members to attend the Annual Meeting virtually and in person. Approximately 48 members attended. The CCEC Board of Directors values member input and is committed to listening to all ideas and concerns.

The following summarizes the questions and statements received during the Annual Meeting, along with the Cooperative's responses.

Question 1: Request for CCEC support in advocating for public DC fast charging infrastructure in Brookings
Submitted by: David Carlson, Brookings

Mr. Carlson, representing a newly formed Tesla Club in Brookings, asked whether Coos-Curry Electric Cooperative would support efforts to bring a Tesla Supercharger to Brookings. He stated that a Supercharger could help attract tourism and encourage electric vehicle adoption in the community.

CCEC Response:

The development of public DC fast charging stations involves coordination among charging network providers, property owners, local governments, utilities, and other stakeholders. Charging network providers determine where stations are located based on a variety of factors, including travel patterns, projected utilization, site availability, electrical capacity, permitting, and commercial considerations.

As the local electric utility, CCEC's role is to provide safe and reliable electric service for new electric loads, including public charging infrastructure, when projects move forward. The Cooperative works with members, developers, and charging providers to evaluate electric service needs consistent with its engineering and service standards.

CCEC recognizes the growing interest in electric vehicles and public charging infrastructure and will continue working with communities and project developers as opportunities arise.

Question 2: Bylaw amendment regarding action without a meeting
Submitted by: Mike Frederick, Brookings

Mr. Frederick asked what prompted the bylaw amendment related to making decisions without meetings, whether the change came from members, and what the proposed benefit was.

CCEC Response:

The amendment referenced by Mr. Frederick is **Article IV, Section 6 – Consent in Lieu of Meetings**. It was proposed as part of the Board's broader effort to modernize the Cooperative's Bylaws so they can better reflect current governance practices. Like several of the other proposed amendments, it was intended to update the Bylaws rather than change the Board's authority.

The amendment gives the Board another way to take action when holding a meeting is not practical by allowing action only when every director entitled to vote provides written consent. Any action taken under this provision has the same force and effect as a unanimous vote at a meeting and must be filed with the Board's official minutes or proceedings.

This amendment applies only to Board action. It does not change member voting rights or the requirement for member approval on matters that must be voted on by the membership under applicable law, the Articles of Incorporation, or the Bylaws.

Question 3: Whether the Bylaw amendment allows decisions to be made without member notice or vote
Submitted by: Mike Frederick, Brookings

Mr. Frederick asked whether the amendment could lead to other decisions being made without notification, voting by mail or electronically, or member participation.

CCEC Response:

No. The amendment does not remove member voting rights or change the process for matters that require a vote of the membership.

When a member vote is required by law, the Articles of Incorporation, or the Bylaws, the Cooperative must provide the required notice and conduct the vote in accordance with the Bylaws. Member voting continues to be conducted by mail or electronically.

The Board of Directors remains responsible for overseeing the Cooperative's business and affairs, except for matters reserved to membership by law, the Articles of Incorporation, or the Bylaws.

Statement 4: Questions regarding the ballot and Bylaw amendment materials
Submitted by: Mark Nast, Gold Beach

Mr. Nast stated that the proposed Bylaw changes document referenced nine bylaw revisions, while the official ballot contained eight bylaw measures. He also noted that the handout distributed at the Annual Meeting, which was a reprint of the Ruralite article, summarized the proposed amendments in seven topics. He questioned how the amendments could have been approved when the documents appeared to present different numbers of proposed changes.

CCEC Response:

The documents referenced by Mr. Nast were prepared for different purposes and thereof presented the proposed amendments differently.

The detailed proposed Bylaw changes document identified revisions affecting nine sections of the Bylaws. The official ballot presented those revisions as eight ballot measures because the amendments to Article I, Section 1 and Article XV, Section 2 addressed the same topic: updating the Bylaws to use gender-neutral language. Those two revisions were therefore combined into a single ballot measure.

The handout distributed at the Annual meeting was a reprint of the Ruralite article. As stated in the article, it was intended to provide a plain-language summary of the proposed amendments and encouraged members to review the complete amendment language included with the ballot package or available on the Cooperative's website before voting. It was not intended to identify or number each ballot question.

The official ballot was the governing voting document. It correctly presented eight bylaw measures for member consideration, and the voting results announced at the 2026 Annual Meeting accurately reflected those eight measures.

Statement 5: Board candidate eligibility and public elected office
Submitted by: Alex Carr Frederick, Brookings

A statement was submitted expressing concern that a Board candidate was currently holding a public elected office and is seeking another public office. The statement questioned whether one individual should hold multiple elected positions.

CCEC Response:

CCEC Board candidate eligibility is determined by the Cooperative's Bylaws.

A position on the CCEC Board of Directors is not a public office. CCEC is a private, member-owned electric cooperative, and directors are elected solely by Cooperative members.

To be eligible, a nominee must meet the qualifications established in the Bylaws, including being a member of the Cooperative in good standing, receiving electric service from the Cooperative at the nominee's principal place of residence, residing in the applicable district for not less than one year, and satisfying the nomination petition requirements.

The Bylaws do not prohibit an otherwise qualified member from serving on the Board because that individual holds, has held, or is seeking to hold a public elected office.

CCEC appreciates the members who participated in the 88th Annual Meeting of the Members. Member involvement is an important part of the cooperative business model, and CCEC remains committed to providing clear information, following its governing documents, and improving how information is shared with the membership.